# Research article

**Open Access** 

# A linkage study of candidate loci in familial Parkinson's Disease

Karin Wirdefeldt<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Catherine E Burgess<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Westerberg<sup>1,3</sup>, Haydeh Payami<sup>4,5</sup> and Martin Schalling<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>3</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, USA and <sup>5</sup>Wadsworth Center, Albany, New York, USA

Email: Karin Wirdefeldt\* - karin.wirdefeldt@mep.ki.se; Catherine E Burgess - cburgess@curagen.com; Lisa Westerberg - lisa.westerberg@cmb.ki.se; Haydeh Payami - hpayami@wadsworth.org; Martin Schalling - martin.schalling@cmm.ki.se

\* Corresponding author

Published: 26 July 2003

BMC Neurology 2003, 3:6

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2377/3/6

© 2003 Wirdefeldt et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Received: 24 April 2003 Accepted: 26 July 2003

#### Abstract

**Background:** Parkinson's disease is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder after Alzheimer's disease. Most cases are sporadic, however familial cases do exist. We examined 12 families with familial Parkinson's disease ascertained at the Movement Disorder clinic at the Oregon Health Sciences University for genetic linkage to a number of candidate loci. These loci have been implicated in familial Parkinson's disease or in syndromes with a clinical presentation that overlaps with parkinsonism, as well as potentially in the pathogenesis of the disease.

**Methods:** The examined loci were PARK3, Parkin, DRD (dopa-responsive dystonia), FET1 (familial essential tremor), BDNF (brain-derived neurotrophic factor), GDNF (glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor), Ret, DAT1 (the dopamine transporter), Nurr1 and Synphilin-1. Linkage to the  $\alpha$ -synuclein gene and the Frontotemporal dementia with parkinsonism locus on chromosome 17 had previously been excluded in the families included in this study. Using Fastlink, Genehunter and Simwalk both parametric and model-free non-parametric linkage analyses were performed.

**Results:** In the multipoint parametric linkage analysis lod scores were below -2 for all loci except *FET1* and *Synphilin*-1 under an autosomal dominant model with incomplete penetrance. Using non-parametric linkage analysis there was no evidence for linkage, although linkage could not be excluded. A few families showed positive parametric and non-parametric lod scores indicating possible genetic heterogeneity between families, although these scores did not reach any degree of statistical significance.

**Conclusions:** We conclude that in these families there was no evidence for linkage to any of the loci tested, although we were unable to exclude linkage with both parametric and non-parametric methods.

#### **Background**

Parkinson's disease (PD) is considered to be a multifactorial disease. Seventy to ninety percent of cases are estimated to be sporadic, while ten to thirty percent are familial [1]. Several rare PD families have been reported displaying different modes of inheritance. In the majority of families there is no clear inheritance pattern; however, in some cases is the disease clearly inherited in an autosomal dominant or an autosomal recessive mode. Clini-

Locus	Description	Chromosome	Мар	Reference		
PARK3	Parkinson's disease susceptibility	2p13	D2S441-1.6cM-D2S2113-1.4cM-D2S291-0.5cM- D2S2111-0.1cM-D2S2109-1.9cM-D2S1394	Gasser et al, 1998 [9]		
Nurrl	Steroid receptor	2q22-23	D2S142-0.7cM-D2S284	Mages et al, 1994 [39]		
FETI	Familial essential tremor	3q13	D3S1278-9.3cM-D3S1267	Gulcher et al, 1997 [30]		
DATI	Dopamine transporter	5p15	DAT1VNTR-5.5cM-D5S1455-8cM-D5S817	Vandenbergh et al, 1992 [40]		
GDNF	Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor	5p12-13	D5S674-4.8cM-D5S426-0.6cM-D5S395	Schindelhauer et al, 1995 [41]		
Synphilin-I	Interacts with $\alpha$ -synuclein	5q23	D5S492-13.8cM-D5S657	Engelender et al, 1999 [25]		
Parkin	Juvenile recessive Parkinson's disease	6q25-27	D6S305-0.3cM-D6S411	Kitada et al, 1998 [5]		
Ret	Receptor of GDNF	10g11.2	D10S141	lshizaka et al, 1989 [42]		
BDNF	Brain-derived neurotrophic factor	llpl3	DIISI324-3.4cM-DIIS914-0.3cM-DIISI322	Maisonpierre et al, 1991 [43]		
DRD	Dopa-responsive dystonia	14g22	D14S583-0.3cM-D14S587-0.3cM-D14S301	Ichinose et al, 1994 [3]		

Table 1: Description, chromosomal location and microsatellite markers for the candidate	loci that were investigated.
---	------------------------------

cally and neuropathologically, familial and sporadic PD seem to be similar [1], although in some familial cases atypical features accompany parkinsonism. In frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17 (FTDP-17), patients display frontal lobe dementia and mutations have been identified in the *Tau* gene on chromosome 17 [2]. Dystonia is a prominent feature in dopa-responsive dystonia, a disease in which mutations have been found in the *GTP cyclohydrolase 1* gene on chromosome 4 [3].

To date, ten genes have been implicated in PD. The first PD mutation was found in the  $\alpha$ -synuclein gene on chromosome 4 in a large Greek-American kindred with autodominant inheritance [4]. somal Clinical and neuropathological features were similar to sporadic PD, although with relatively early disease onset and rapid course. In 1998, mutations in the Parkin gene were reported to be segregating with juvenile autosomal recessive parkinsonism [5]. Subsequently, a large number of Parkin mutations were reported both in familial earlyonset PD and sporadic early-onset cases [6]. A mutation was also found in the Ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1) gene in one German sib pair with PD [7]. Recently, mutations in the DJ-1 gene were associated with autosomal recessive parkinsonism in two European families [8]. In addition to the genes described above, studies have linked six previously uncharacterized loci to PD. The PARK3 locus on chromosome 2 was linked to the disease in several autosomal dominant PD families and the penetrance of the putative gene was estimated at about forty percent [9]. In 1999, a chromosome 4p haplotype was reported to be segregating with the disease in a large pedigree with autosomal dominant PD [10]. In this pedigree individuals who did not have PD but postural tremor also shared the haplotype, suggesting a common mutation for the two phenotypes. In a large Sicilian family with autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism a locus on chromosome 1p (*PARK6*) was reported to be linked to the disease [11]. Hicks and coworkers reported linkage of another locus on chromosome 1p (*PARK10*) to late-onset PD in 51 Icelandic families [12]. Further, the *PARK8* locus on chromosome 12 was linked to PD in a Japanese family with autosomal dominant parkinsonism [13]; and recently, a PD susceptibility locus on chromosome 2 was reported in 150 PD families [14].

In analyzing 12 Caucasian PD families ascertained in the northwestern US, we previously excluded linkage to chromosome 4q ( $\alpha$ -synuclein) and the G209A mutation found in the Greek-American kindred [15]. Dominant mutations within the FTDP-17 locus were also excluded. Using parametric linkage analysis we found suggestive linkage to the PARK3 locus in a subset of families, although the locus was excluded in at least one family [16]. In this study we examined this locus further using non-parametric linkage analysis. We also analyzed genes or loci that are related to syndromes with parkinsonism such as juvenile parkinsonism (Parkin), dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD) and familial essential tremor (FET1), as well as genes or loci that are biologically implicated in survival or function of dopaminergic neurons, indicating potential involvement in PD pathogenesis. These included the following: BDNF (brain-derived neurotrophic factor), GDNF (glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor), Ret, DAT1 (the dopamine transporter), Nurr1 and Synphilin-1. The candidate loci that were tested are described in Table 1. BDNF and GDNF are both neurotrophic factors for dopaminergic neurons [17,18] and they also protect nigral dopamine neurons in animal models of PD [19,20]. Ret is the receptor of GDNF [21]. The dopamine transporter is involved in the reuptake of dopamine into dopaminergic neurons [22]. Nurr1 is a steroid receptor, and a knockout of its mouse

Family	Narrow definition PD			Broad definition PD			Unaffected		
	N of individuals (total / sampled)	Mean age at onset	Range of age at onset	N of individuals (total / sampled)	Mean age at onset (sampled only)	Range of age at onset (sampled only)	N of unaffected individuals sampled	Mean age	Age range
I	4 / 2	65.8	58–73	9/4	69.5	63–73	4	76.8	72–83
2	4/3	67.3	49–79	6 / 5	52.4	32–77	9	49.9	24–72
3	4 / 2	55.3	44–77	8/3	65.3	44–77	13	56.7	29–85
4	4 / 2	54.3	48–59	6 / 4	55.8	26-85	12	53.7	27–75
5	5/3	63.0	48–78	16/9	55.8	9–78	16	56.4	41–86
6	4/3	24.0	8–35	16/3	20.3	8–28	2	29.5	16-43
7	4/3	65.8	40–78	14/6	42.5	10–78	7	67.7	48–91
8	2/2	49.0	15-83	6 / 5	30.0	14-83	10	43.4	23–84
9	3 / 2	59.0	46–76	9/3	55.3	46–65	3	55.7	47–73
10	3/3	62.3	54–76	9/6	59.5	40–79	13	51.7	30–79
11	3/3	58.7	39–78	6/3	58.7	39–78	8	56.0	46-73
12	2/2	66.5	66–67	2/2	66.5	66–67	8	68.3	63–74

Table 2: Description of the PD family material. Number of affected and unaffected individuals in each family, mean age at onset and range for affected, and mean age and range for unaffected, as of 1997. In narrow definition PD only individuals with probable PD were assigned as affected. In broad definition PD both individuals with probable and possible PD were assigned as affected.

homologue causes agenesis of dopaminergic neurons [23]. Recently, two mutations in Nurr1 were found to be segregating with PD in ten families of European descent [24]. Synphilin-1 interacts with  $\alpha$ -synuclein and is also present in Lewy bodies, the neuropathological hallmark of PD [25,26]. With both parametric and non-parametric linkage analysis we found no evidence for linkage to any of these loci. We included a non-parametric approach in which no assumptions about mode of inheritance are made, since the inheritance mode in the present material is not completely ascertained.

# Methods

## Families

In total 12 families with familial PD, diagnosed at the Movement Disorder clinic at Oregon Health Sciences University, were included in the study. The number of affected and unaffected individuals in each family, age of onset characteristics for affected and age characteristics for unaffected are shown in Table 2. Diagnostic criteria according to the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank [27] were used with the exception that family history of PD was not used as an exclusion criterion. Individuals who fulfilled these criteria were classified as probable PD. In addition, individuals who did not fulfill the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank criteria but showed at least one cardinal sign (mostly tremor) were classified as possible PD. The majority of families had a segregation pattern that was consistent with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. Examples of relationships between affected individuals were son/daughter, sibling, aunt/

uncle, granddaughter/grandson, first cousin and second cousin. In ten families, there were at least three individuals with probable PD. Referring to individuals with both probable and possible PD, there were in total 107 affected individuals. Of these, blood was collected from 53 individuals with the average of 4.4 individuals per family. The largest number of sampled affected individuals in one family was nine (family 5 in Table 2). Previously, the G209A mutation in  $\alpha$ -synuclein found in the large Greek-American kindred was found to be absent in these families and linkage to chromosome 4q21-23 where this gene is situated was excluded [15]. A subset of the families was also tested for the PARK3 locus on chromosome 2 [16]. All individuals included in the study signed an informed consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Oregon Health Sciences University in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

## Statistical power calculation

The statistical power of the family material was assessed by simulations using Simlink version 4.1 [28] under the assumptions of autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance (55%) and no phenocopies, no heterogeneity, a disease allele frequency of 0.001 and one marker with four alleles. The simulations were performed assigning individuals who were diagnosed with probable PD as affected in addition to also allowing for those with possible PD to be assigned as affected. We refer to probable PD as narrow definition PD. Probable PD and possible PD taken together is referred to as broad definition PD. For narrow definition PD, the estimated mean maximum lod score at theta = 0 summarized across all families was 3.75 (standard error 0.046). The lowest mean maximum lod score in an individual family was 0.21 and the highest 0.55. For broad definition PD, the estimated mean maximum lod score at theta = 0 summarized across all families was 7.48 (standard error 0.055), with the lowest value in an individual family being 0.22 and the highest 1.17. Thus, the expected summarized maximum lod scores would reach above 3.0, the commonly used level of statistical significance, for both definitions of the phenotype. Considering both narrow and broad definition PD, families 2, 5 and 11 gave the highest estimated mean maximum lod scores (0.55, 0.46 and 0.55 for narrow definition PD; 0.99, 1.17 and 0.93 for broad definition PD, respectively) and families 1, 6 and 9 gave the lowest (0.21, 0.27 and 0.22 for narrow definition PD; 0.40, 0.22 and 0.35 for broad definition PD, respectively).

## Genotyping

Highly polymorphic microsatellite markers were selected for each locus using National Center for Biotechnology Information databases [29]. Markers located in close proximity to the locus or gene of interest were preferentially selected. For those loci where gene information was limited (PARK3, FET1), the markers that yielded the highest lod scores in previous publications were selected [9,30]. The genetic localization and allele frequencies were determined using publicly available databases [29]. The markers and the genetic maps used are listed in Table 1. The marker D10S141 for the Ret locus was an intragenic marker [31] and the only marker selected for this locus. The markers were PCR amplified using standard protocols provided by Research Genetics. The PCR products were separated on an ABI 377 Automatic Sequencer and the genotypes were determined using Genescan<sup>™</sup> and Geno-Typer<sup>™</sup> software (Perkin Elmer Applied Biosystems).

## Linkage analysis

Two-point parametric linkage analysis was performed with Fastlink version 4.1P [32]. Multipoint linkage analysis was carried out with Genehunter version 2.0 beta [33], which computes both parametric and non-parametric multipoint lod scores. Due to the fact that Genehunter cannot handle large pedigrees without subdividing them, the non-parametric approach was complemented with Simwalk version 2.60, which performs simulated annealing and random walk to compute a location score which is comparable to multipoint lod score [34]. The assumptions for the parametric approach were an autosomal dominant inheritance model with 55% penetrance, no phenocopies, no heterogeneity and a disease allele frequency of 0.001. Allele frequencies were estimated from founders in the families and equal allele frequencies were used for comparison. An affecteds-only and an autosomal recessive model were also applied for the PARK3 and the

*Parkin* loci, respectively. All analyses were performed both for narrow definition PD and broad definition PD.

# Results

# Analysis of narrow definition PD

#### Parametric linkage analysis

In additional file 1 (Table 3.xls), summarized parametric two-point and multipoint lod scores and non-parametric multipoint lod scores are presented for each marker examined for narrow and broad definition PD. For narrow definition PD two-point lod scores were negative at theta = 0 for all markers examined. At theta = 0.1, two-point lod scores were higher although still negative for all markers except two, the highest value being 0.26 (for marker D2S2113). Parametric multipoint lod scores were negative for all markers and below -2 (the commonly used level for exclusion of linkage) for all markers and loci except FET1, for which one of the two markers showed a parametric lod score of -1.50. Equal allele frequencies and allele frequencies estimated from the families yielded similar results. For most of the markers information content as given by Genehunter was above 60%. Information content was above 50% for all markers except DAT1VNTR, D5S492 and D5S657.

In addition to the autosomal dominant model with incomplete penetrance, an affecteds-only model and an autosomal recessive model were applied for the PARK3 and Parkin loci respectively, since these loci were initially identified using these models [5,9]. For five of the six markers covering the PARK3 locus summarized parametric multipoint lod scores assuming an affecteds-only model were between -2.98 and -2.87. One marker showed a parametric multipoint lod score of -0.73. However, information content decreased significantly from above 70% for the autosomal dominant model to between 20 and 40% for the affecteds-only model. For the Parkin locus the autosomal recessive model showed higher parametric lod scores than the autosomal dominant model, although still negative. For the two markers covering the Parkin locus summarized parametric multipoint lod scores were -1.40 and -1.46 under the recessive model, compared to -6.00 and -6.33 under the dominant model.

## Non-parametric linkage analysis

For three loci (*GDNF*, *BDNF* and *DRD*) summarized nonparametric multipoint lod scores were negative for all covering markers (additional file 1: Table 3.xls). For the other loci non-parametric lod scores were slightly positive although none reached above 1.0. The non-parametric analysis was complemented by Simwalk, which computes a statistic comparable to the non-parametric lod score. The results generated by this approach were consistent with the non-parametric results from Genehunter for all loci except *Parkin*, *BDNF* and *DRD*. For these loci Simwalk computed higher values for the statistic corresponding to the non-parametric lod score generated by Genehunter, although all values were below 0.8 (data not shown).

# Analysis of broad definition PD

When assigning individuals who were diagnosed with possible PD (having one cardinal sign) as affected in addition to those with probable PD, lower summarized twopoint lod scores at theta = 0 were observed for all markers except D2S2109, D2DS142, DAT1VNTR, D5S492 and D6S411. Similarly, lower summarized parametric multipoint lod scores were generated at all loci except Nurr1, Synphilin-1 and Parkin (markers D2S142, D2S284, D5S492 and D6S411) (additional file 1: Table 3.xls). Slightly higher non-parametric multipoint lod scores were observed for most of the markers, a result that agreed in almost all cases with the Simwalk results. However, for all loci in which non-parametric multipoint lod scores obtained by Genehunter were above 1.0 (Nurr1, FET1, Parkin and BDNF), the corresponding statistic generated by Simwalk did not exceed 0.8 (data not shown). For Nurr1, FET1, Synphilin-1, Parkin and Ret, summarized non-parametric multipoint lod scores were positive for all markers both when narrow and broad definition PD were applied. However, none achieved a level of significant linkage.

# Individual pedigree analysis

In additional file 2 (Table 4.xls), parametric and non-parametric multipoint lod scores for narrow and broad definition PD are presented for each family and locus in which both parametric and non-parametric lod scores were positive and either of them exceeded the value of 0.5 for all markers. Most of the families and loci that fulfilled these requirements for narrow definition PD also did so for broad definition PD. These families were family 1 (for the Nurr1 locus), family 3 (for the Nurr1 and Parkin loci), family 6 (for the DRD locus), family 7 (for the DAT1 locus), family 9 (for the PARK3 and FET1 loci) and family 11 (for the Ret and DRD loci). In the majority of these cases both parametric and non-parametric lod scores were higher for broad definition PD than for narrow definition PD. The highest parametric lod score was observed when broad definition PD was applied in family 11 at the Ret locus (1.15; two-point lod score at theta = 0), corresponding to a non-parametric lod score of 1.09. The highest non-parametric multipoint lod score was obtained in family 8 at the BDNF locus (2.57), also using broad definition PD, with a corresponding parametric multipoint lod score of 1.05.

# Discussion

In these 12 PD families there was no evidence for linkage to any of the loci tested using parametric linkage analysis under a model of autosomal dominant inheritance with reduced penetrance, as well as non-parametric linkage analysis. When performing parametric linkage analysis it is important to specify both the disease-locus and markerlocus parameters correctly. Generally, multipoint analysis is more sensitive to various types of errors than two-point analysis [35,36]. In two-point analysis misspecification of inheritance mode may result in overestimation of recombination fraction and reduced power to detect linkage, while in multipoint analysis false exclusion of linkage may occur [36]. In addition, genotyping errors may cause false exclusion in multipoint analysis [37] and incorrect allele frequencies and recombination fractions may result in reduced power and/or false positive findings [38]. In the present study we performed both two-point and multipoint analysis, the former being the most robust type of analysis and the latter using the most information. Both when narrow and broad PD were analyzed there were only small differences between two-point parametric lod scores at theta = 0 and multipoint parametric lod scores. At theta = 0.1, differences between two-point and multipoint lod scores were larger, although the scores were still negative for all markers except three. Nevertheless, this fact may indicate possible presence of bias in the parametric multipoint analysis due to errors in specification of the model parameters.

One problem related to the specification of inheritance model is low penetrance. Given the complex phenotype, including only affected individuals in the analysis may be preferable. To compare this approach with the results from the autosomal dominant model with reduced penetrance including all individuals, we performed affectedsonly analysis for the PARK3 locus, which also initially was identified using this approach [9]. Compared to the autosomal dominant model with incomplete penetrance, multipoint parametric lod scores generated by the affecteds-only model were higher (less negative), indicating a loss of information. Accordingly, information content as given by Genehunter was markedly reduced. Thus, despite the fact that affecteds-only analysis had been preferable, the loss of information content precluded further affecteds-only analyses for the other loci. Since inheritance mode in the families appeared autosomal dominant, it seemed appropriate to perform the analyses under such a model. Using data from a select number of markers we evaluated age-dependent penetrance versus low penetrance for all age groups. The latter model generated somewhat higher (less negative) lod scores, indicating more conservative values, however there were no large differences. Since low penetrance for all age groups imposes fewer restrictions to the model, we subsequently chose to model penetrance in this way.

The parametric linkage analysis was complemented with a non-parametric linkage approach, which has the advan-

tage of not making assumptions about inheritance mode although it is less powerful than parametric linkage analysis. This fact is reflected by the data in the sense that the non-parametric multipoint lod scores often approached zero. Generally, the non-parametric analysis generated higher lod scores than the parametric, a fact that was most apparent when comparing multipoint non-parametric lod scores to two-point lod scores at theta = 0 and multipoint parametric lod scores, respectively. When comparing multipoint non-parametric lod scores to two-point lod scores at theta = 0.1, differences were smaller. The reason for the discrepancy is most likely that in parametric analysis assumptions are made about mode of inheritance, imposing restrictions to the data structure. Again, multipoint parametric analysis is more sensitive to modeling errors than two-point analysis, with the possible effect of inducing false exclusions [35,36]. In summary, although the multipoint parametric results indicated exclusion of linkage, our sample contained too few affected individuals in order to draw definite conclusions from the non-parametric analysis. Thus, we are less inclined to say that linkage is excluded based on the nonparametric results.

One fact that might reduce our possibility to demonstrate linkage is heterogeneity. At present there is substantial evidence that several genes contribute to PD. Unfortunately, we were unable to investigate heterogeneity in this study due to the small sample size. However, some families demonstrated positive lod scores for a few loci, indicating that heterogeneity might exist despite our selection of Caucasian families of European descent from a limited geographical area.

# Conclusions

The etiology of PD is considered to be complex, involving several genetic factors as well as potential interactions between genetic and environmental factors [1]. We conclude that using both parametric and non-parametric linkage analyses there was no evidence for linkage to PD in the families included in this study. Although linkage was excluded for the majority of loci under an autosomal dominant model with incomplete penetrance, we could not exclude linkage using non-parametric methods. The fact that none of the loci tested showed negative lod scores in all families demonstrates the possibility that some of them are of relevance to individual families. Alternatively, other loci, known or yet unknown, may be associated with PD in these families.

#### **Competing interests**

None declared.

#### **Authors' contributions**

KW participated in the design of the study and the genotyping, performed the linkage analyses and drafted the manuscript. CEB participated in the design of the study and the linkage analyses. LW participated in the genotyping. HP was responsible for the collection of blood samples. MS conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

## Additional material

# Additional File 1

Table 3. Two-point and multipoint parametric lod scores (lod) and nonparametric multipoint lod scores (NPL) for each marker. Summarized lod and NPL are shown for narrow and broad definition PD. Two-point lod scores were generated by Fastlink [32] and multipoint lod scores by Genehunter [33]. In narrow definition PD only individuals with probable PD were assigned as affected, while in broad definition PD, individuals with possible PD were assigned as affected in addition to individuals with probable PD. Since only one marker was used for the Ret locus, multipoint parametric lod score is not shown.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2377-3-6-S1.xls]

# Additional File 2

Table 4. Parametric (lod) and non-parametric (NPL) multipoint lod scores in individual families. Lod and NPL for narrow and broad definition PD for each family and locus in which both lod and NPL were positive and either of them exceeded the value of 0.5 for all markers. For the Ret locus, two-point parametric lod score is shown instead of lod since only one marker was used. The GDNF locus is omitted from the table since lod and NPL for this locus did not reach the required values in any families. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2377-3-6-S2.xls]

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr John Nutt, Department of Neurology, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon for ascertainment of the patients. This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (10909), Kapten Eriksson foundation, funds from Karolinska Hospital and Karolinska Institutet, NARSAD and National Institutes of Health grant I ROI NS36960.

#### References

- 1. Payami H and Zareparsi S: Genetic epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 1998, 11:98-106.
- Hutton M, Lendon CL, Rizzu P, Baker M, Froelich S, Houlden H, Pickering-Brown S, Chakraverty S, Isaacs A and Grover A et al.: Association of missense and 5'-splice-site mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. Nature 1998, 393:702-705.
- Ichinose H, Ohye T, Takahashi E, Seki N, Hori T, Segawa M, Nomura Y, Endo K, Tanaka H and Tsuji S et al.: Hereditary progressive dystonia with marked diurnal fluctuation caused by mutations in the GTP cyclohydrolase I gene. Nat Genet 1994, 8:236-242.
- Polymeropoulos MH, Lavedan C, Leroy E, Ide SE, Dehejia A, Dutra A, Pike B, Root H, Rubenstein J and Boyer R et al.: Mutation in the alpha-synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. Science 1997, 276:2045-2047.

- 5. Kitada T, Asakawa S, Hattori N, Matsumine H, Yamamura Y, Minoshima S, Yokochi M, Mizuno Y and Shimizu N: Mutations in the parkin gene cause autosomal recessive iuvenile parkinsonism. Nature 1998, 392:605-608.
- Lucking CB, Durr A, Bonifati V, Vaughan J, De Michele G, Gasser T, 6. Harhangi BS, Meco G, Denefle P and Wood NW et al.: Association between early-onset Parkinson's disease and mutations in the parkin gene. French Parkinson's Disease Genetics Study Group. N Engl J Med 2000, 342:1560-1567
- Leroy E, Boyer R, Auburger G, Leube B, Ulm G, Mezey E, Harta G, 7. Brownstein MJ, Jonnalagada S and Chernova T et al.: The ubiquitin pathway in Parkinson's disease. Nature 1998, 395:451-452.
- 8 Bonifati V, Rizzu P, van Baren MJ, Schaap O, Breedveld GJ, Krieger E, Dekker MC, Squitieri F, Ibanez P and Joosse M et al.: Mutations in the DJ-I gene associated with autosomal recessive earlyonset parkinsonism. Science 2003, 299:256-259.
- Gasser T, Muller-Myhsok B, Wszolek ZK, Oehlmann R, Calne DB, Bonifati V, Bereznai B, Fabrizio E, Vieregge P and Horstmann RD: A susceptibility locus for Parkinson's disease maps to chromosome 2p13. Nat Genet 1998, 18:262-265.
- Farrer M, Gwinn-Hardy K, Muenter M, DeVrieze FW, Crook R, 10 Perez-Tur J, Lincoln S, Maraganore D, Adler C and Newman S et al.: A chromosome 4p haplotype segregating with Parkinson's disease and postural tremor. Hum Mol Genet 1999, 8:81-85.
- Valente EM, Bentivoglio AR, Dixon PH, Ferraris A, lalongo T, Frontali 11. M, Albanese A and Wood NW: Localization of a novel locus for autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism, PARK6, on human chromosome 1p35-p36. Am J Hum Genet 2001, 68:895-900.
- Hicks AA, Petursson H, Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Johannsdottir HS, 12. Sainz J, Frigge ML, Kong A, Gulcher JR and Stefansson K et al.: A susceptibility gene for late-onset idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 2002, 52:549-555.
- 13. Funayama M, Hasegawa K, Kowa H, Saito M, Tsuji S and Obata F: A new locus for Parkinson's disease (PARK8) maps to chromosome 12p11.2-q13.1. Ann Neurol 2002, 51:296-301
- Pankratz N, Nichols WC, Uniacke SK, Halter C, Rudolph A, Shults C, Conneally PM and Foroud T: Significant linkage of Parkinson disease to chromosome 2q36-37. Am J Hum Genet 2003, 72:1053-1057
- Zareparsi S, Kaye J, Camicioli R, Kramer P, Nutt J, Bird T, Litt M, Payami H and Kay J: Analysis of the alpha-synuclein G209A mutation in familial Parkinson's disease. Lancet 1998, 351:37-38
- 16. Zareparsi S, Wirdefeldt K, Burgess CE, Nutt J, Kramer P, Schalling M and Payami H: Exclusion of dominant mutations within the FTDP-17 locus on chromosome 17 for Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 1999, 272:140-142.
- 17. Murer MG, Yan Q and Raisman-Vozari R: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the control human brain, and in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. Prog Neurobiol 2001, 63:71-124.
- 18. Saarma M and Sariola H: Other neurotrophic factors: glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). Microsc Res Tech 1999, 45:292-302.
- Frim DM, Uhler TA, Galpern WR, Beal MF, Breakefield XO and Isacson O: Implanted fibroblasts genetically engineered to produce brain-derived neurotrophic factor prevent 1-methyl-4phenylpyridinium toxicity to dopaminergic neurons in the rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994, 91:5104-5108.
- Choi-Lundberg DL, Lin Q, Chang YN, Chiang YL, Hay CM, Mohajeri H, Davidson BL and Bohn MC: Dopaminergic neurons protected from degeneration by GDNF gene therapy. Science 1997, 275:838-841
- Treanor JJ, Goodman L, de Sauvage F, Stone DM, Poulsen KT, Beck 21. CD, Gray C, Armanini MP, Pollock RA and Hefti F et al.: Characterization of a multicomponent receptor for GDNF. Nature 1996, 382:80-83
- 22. Amara SG and Kuhar MI: Neurotransmitter transporters: recent progress. Annu Rev Neurosci 1993, 16:73-93.
- 23. Zetterstrom RH, Solomin L, Jansson L, Hoffer BJ, Olson L and Perlmann T: Dopamine neuron agenesis in Nurrl-deficient mice. Science 1997, 276:248-250.
- Le WD, Xu P, Jankovic J, Jiang H, Appel SH, Smith RG and Vassilatis 24. DK: Mutations in NR4A2 associated with familial Parkinson disease. Nat Genet 2003, 33:85-89.

- 25. Engelender S, Kaminsky Z, Guo X, Sharp AH, Amaravi RK, Kleiderlein JJ, Margolis RL, Troncoso JC, Lanahan AA and Worley PF et al.: Synphilin-1 associates with alpha-synuclein and promotes the formation of cytosolic inclusions. *Nat Genet* 1999, **22**:110-114.
- Wakabayashi K, Engelender S, Yoshimoto M, Tsuji S, Ross CA and Takahashi H: Synphilin-I is present in Lewy bodies in Parkin-son's disease. Ann Neurol 2000, 47:521-523.
- Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L and Lees AJ: Accuracy of clinical 27. diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992, 55:181-184
- Boehnke M: Estimating the power of a proposed linkage study: 28. a practical computer simulation approach. Am J Hum Genet 1986, 39:513-527
- 29. National Center for Biotechnology Information [http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov]
- Gulcher JR, Jonsson P, Kong A, Kristjansson K, Frigge ML, Karason A, 30. Einarsdottir IE, Stefansson H, Einarsdottir AS and Sigurthoardottir S et al.: Mapping of a familial essential tremor gene, FETI, to chromosome 3q13. Nat Genet 1997, 17:84-87.
- Love DR, Gardner E and Ponder BA: A polymorphic dinucleotide 31. repeat at the DIOSI4I locus. Hum Mol Genet 1993, 2:491
- Cottingham RW Jr, Idury RM and Schaffer AA: Faster sequential 32. genetic linkage computations. Am | Hum Genet 1993, 53:252-263.
- 33. Kruglyak L, Daly MJ, Reeve-Daly MP and Lander ES: Parametric and nonparametric linkage analysis: a unified multipoint approach. Am J Hum Genet 1996, 58:1347-1363.
- Sobel E and Lange K: Descent graphs in pedigree analysis: appli-34. cations to haplotyping, location scores, and marker-sharing statistics. Am J Hum Genet 1996, 58:1323-1337. Risch N and Giuffra L: Model misspecification and multipoint
- 35. linkage analysis. Hum Hered 1992, 42:77-92.
- Goring HH and Terwilliger JD: Linkage analysis in the presence 36. of errors I: complex-valued recombination fractions and complex phenotypes. Am J Hum Genet 2000, 66:1095-1106.
- Goring HH and Terwilliger JD: Linkage analysis in the presence 37. of errors II: marker-locus genotyping errors modeled with hypercomplex recombination fractions. Am | Hum Genet 2000, 66:1107-1118.
- 38. Goring HH and Terwilliger JD: Linkage analysis in the presence of errors III: marker loci and their map as nuisance parameters. Am | Hum Genet 2000, 66:1298-1309
- Mages HW, Rilke O, Bravo R, Senger G and Kroczek RA: NOT, a 39. human immediate-early response gene closely related to the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor NAKI/TR3. Mol Endocrinol 1994, 8:1583-1591
- Vandenbergh DJ, Persico AM and Uhl GR: A human dopamine 40. transporter cDNA predicts reduced glycosylation, displays a novel repetitive element and provides racially-dimorphic Taql RFLPs. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1992, 15:161-166.
- Schindelhauer D, Schuffenhauer S, Gasser T, Steinkasserer A and Meitinger T: The gene coding for glial cell line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) maps to chromosome 5pl2-pl3.1. Genomics 1995, 28:605-607.
- Ishizaka Y, Itoh F, Tahira T, Ikeda I, Sugimura T, Tucker J, Fertitta A, Carrano AV and Nagao M: Human ret proto-oncogene mapped to chromosome 10q11.2. Oncogene 1989, 4:1519-1521.
- Maisonpierre PC, Le Beau MM, Espinosa R 3rd, Ip NY, Belluscio L, de 43. la Monte SM, Squinto S, Furth ME and Yancopoulos GD: Human and rat brain-derived neurotrophic factor and neurotrophin-3: structures, distributions, and chromosomal gene localizations. Genomics 1991, 10:558-568.

#### **Pre-publication history**

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2377/3/6/prepub